

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/808,093	03/23/2004	Joel M. Linden	U0037-298464	4174		
75	90 03/04/2005		EXAMINER			
Samuel B. Rol	Samuel B. Rollins			LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C		
Kilpatrick Stock		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Winston-Salem	, NC 27101	1626				
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Ii .		
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	9		
Office Action Summary		10/808,0		LINDEN ET AL.	1		
		Examine	or	Art Unit			
		Deborah	C. Lambkin	1626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months affed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e inication. d ays, a reply within the ste utory period will apply and v ill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror plication to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on 23 April 2004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims			•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>25-29</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>25-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	·	=	-	· ·		
·	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	a Action or form P1	U-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have be locuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National red.			
Attachmen	t(s)			DEBC Prin	DRAH C. LAMBKIN MARY EXAMINER		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)		

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tinney et al (CA81:145630).

Tinney et al teach that the pharmaceutical composition of (2-amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[b]thien-3-yl)-2-naphthalenyl-methanone was more potent an an antianxiety agent than chlordiazepoxide.

A composition is a composition, irregardless of its use. There is no patentable distinction seen between the composition of Tinney et al and the instant one when R3 is 2-napthyl and R4 and R5 form a six membered ring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tinney et al (CA81145630).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,093

Art Unit: 1626

The composition taught by Tinney et al as discussed supra is a positional isomer of the instant 1-napthyl species. Positional isomers are considered obvious variants to the ordinary skilled artisan.

It would have been prima facie obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was filed to prepare a positional isomer of a known compound, motivation being that said isomer would be expected to produce the same or similar properties as its known obvious counterpart, absent some unobvious or unexpected results.

No unobvious or unexpected results are seen.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 25-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,713,638. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Application/Control Number: 10/808,093 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

distinct from each other because a composition is obvious form of a compound for pharmaceutical use. In other words, a compound and its composition are not patentably distinct, especially when it is used as a pharmaceutical.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number 571-272-0698.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on 571-272-0699.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

DEBORAH C. LAMBKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Deborah C. Lambkin Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626